arXiv:0910.5752v1 [math.AC] 29 Oct 2009

NOTE ON (WEAK) GORENSTEIN GLOBAL DIMENSIONS

NAJIB MAHDOU AND MOHAMMED TAMEKKANTE

Abstract. In this note we characterize the (resp., weak) Gorenstein global dimension for an arbitrary ring. Also, we extend the well-known Hilbert's syzygy Theorem to the weak Gorenstein global dimension and we study the weak Gorenstein homological dimensions of direct product of rings, which gives examples of non-coherent rings of finite Gorenstein dimensions > 0 and infinite classical weak dimension.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes -if not specified otherwise- a non-trivial associative ring and all modules are unitary.

Let R be a ring, and let M be an R-module. As usual we use $\mathrm{pd}_R(M)$, $\mathrm{id}_R(M)$ and $\mathrm{fd}_R(M)$ to denote, respectively, the classical projective dimension, injective dimension and flat dimension of M. We use also $\mathrm{gldim}(R)$ and $\mathrm{wdim}(R)$ to denote, respectively, the classical global and weak dimension of R.

For a two-sided Noetherian ring R, Auslander and Bridger [2] introduced the G-dimension, $\mathrm{Gdim}_R(M)$, for every finitely generated R-module M. They showed that there is an inequality $\mathrm{Gdim}_R(M) \leq \mathrm{pd}_R(M)$ for all finite R-modules M, and equality holds if $\mathrm{pd}_R(M)$ is finite.

Several decades later, Enochs and Jenda [9, 10] defined the notion of Gorenstein projective dimension (G-projective dimension for short), as an extension of G-dimension to modules which are not necessarily finitely generated, and the Gorenstein injective dimension (G-injective dimension for short) as a dual notion of Gorenstein projective dimension. Then, to complete the analogy with the classical homological dimension, Enochs, Jenda and Torrecillas [12] introduced the Gorenstein flat dimension. Some references are [5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15].

Recall that a left (resp., right) R-module M is called Gorenstein projective if, there exists an exact sequence of projective left (resp., right) R-modules:

$$\mathbf{P} : ... \to P_1 \to P_0 \to P^0 \to P^1 \to ...$$

such that $M \cong \mathrm{Im}(P_0 \to P^0)$ and such that the operator $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, Q)$ leaves $\mathbf{P}$ exact whenever Q is a left (resp., right) projective R-module. The resolution $\mathbf{P}$ is called a complete projective resolution.

The left and right Gorenstein injective R-module are defined dually.

And an R-module M is called left (resp., right) Gorenstein flat if, there exists an exact sequence of flat left (resp., right) R-modules:

$$\mathbf{F} : ... \to F_1 \to F_0 \to F^0 \to F^1 \to ...$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D05, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. (Gorenstein) homological dimensions of modules and rings.

such that $M \cong \mathrm{Im}(P_0 \to P^0)$ and such that the operator $I \otimes_R -$ (resp., $- \otimes_R I$) leaves F exact whenever I is a right (resp., left) injective R-module. The resolution **F** is called complete flat resolution.
Using the definitions, we immediately get the following characterization of Gorenstein flat modules:

Lemma 1.1. *An R-module M is Gorenstein left (resp., right) flat if, and only if,*

1. $\mathrm{Tor}_R^i(I, M) = 0$ *(resp.*$\mathrm{Tor}_R^i(M, I) = 0$*), for every right (resp., left) injective R-module I and all* $i > 0$.
2. *There exists an exact sequence of left (resp, right) R-modules* $0 \to M \to F_0 \to F_1 \to ...$ *where each* F_i *is flat such that the functor* $I \otimes_R -$ *(resp.,* $- \otimes_R I$*) keeps the exactness of this sequence whenever I is right injective.*

Remark 1.2. Using the Lemma above and an n-step flat resolution of left (resp., right) R-module M we conclude that if $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) \leq n$ then $\mathrm{Tor}_R^i(I, M) = 0$ (resp., $\mathrm{Tor}_R^i(M, I) = 0$) for every right (resp., left) injective R-module I and all $i > n$. The inverse implication is given by Holm ([15, Theorem 3.14]) when $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) < \infty$ and the ring is left (resp., right) coherent.

The Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions are defined in term of resolution and denoted by $\mathrm{Gpd}(-)$, $\mathrm{Gid}(-)$ and $\mathrm{Gfd}(-)$ respectively (see [5, 11, 15]).

In [3], the authors prove the equality:

$$\sup\{\mathrm{Gpd}_R(M) \mid \text{M is a left R-module}\} = \sup\{\mathrm{Gid}_R(M) \mid \text{M is a left R-module}\}$$

They called the common value of the above quantities the left Gorenstein global dimension of R and denoted it by $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R)$. Similarly, they set

$$l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) \mid \text{M is a left R-module}\}$$

which they called the left weak Gorenstein global dimension of R. Similarly with the right modules, we can define the right Gorenstein global and weak dimensions; $r.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R)$ and $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)$. When R is a commutative ring, we drop the unneeded letters r and l.
The Gorenstein global dimension measures how far away a ring R is from being quasi-Frobenius (i.e; Noetherian and self injective rings) (see [3, Proposition 2.6]). On the other hand, from Faith-Walker Theorem [17, Theorem 7.56], a ring is quasi-Frobenius if, and only if, every injective right (resp., left) module is projective or equivalently every projective right (resp., left) module is injective. Hence, from [3, Proposition 2.6], we have the following Corollary:

Corollary 1.3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) = 0$.
2. $r.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) = 0$.
3. *Every left (and right) projective R-module is injective.*

For rings with high $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(-)$, [3, Lemma 2.1] gives a nice characterization to $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R)$ for an arbitrary ring R provided the finiteness of this dimension as shown by the next Proposition:

Proposition 1.4 (Lemma 2.1, [3])**.** *If* $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) < \infty$*, then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) \leq n$.
2. $\mathrm{id}_R(P) \leq n$ *for every left R-module P with finite projective dimension.*

There is a similar result of Corollary 1.3 for the weak Gorenstein global dimension as shown by the bellow Proposition. Recall that a ring is called right (resp., left) IF ring if every right (resp., left) injective module is flat and it is called IF ring if it is both right and left IF ring (see please [8]).

Proposition 1.5. *The following statements are equivalent for every ring R:*

1. $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = 0$
2. *Every left and every right injective R-module is flat (i.e,* IF *ring).*
3. $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = 0$

Proof. We prove the implications ($1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3$) and the inverse implications are similar.
($1 \Rightarrow 2$). Suppose that $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = 0$. Let I be right injective R-module. For an arbitrary left R-module M and all $i > 0$ we have $\mathrm{Tor}_R^i(I, M) = 0$ (see Lemma 1.1). Then, I is flat. Moreover, since every left R-module is Gorenstein flat (since $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = 0$), every left R-module can be embedding in a left flat R-module. In particular, every left injective R-module is contained in a flat module. Then, every left injective R-module is a direct summand of a flat module and so it is flat, as desired.
($2 \Rightarrow 3$). Let M be a right R-module. Assemble any flat resolution of M with its any injective resolution, we get an exact sequence of right flat R-modules $\mathbf{F}$ (since every right injective module is flat). Also, since every left injective module I is flat, $\mathbf{F} \otimes_R I$ is exact and so $\mathbf{F}$ is a complete flat resolution. This means that M is Gorenstein flat. Consequently, $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = 0$. □

The aim of this note is to give generalizations of Corollary 1.3 and Proposition 1.5 in the way of Proposition 1.4 for an arbitrary ring with high (weak) Gorenstein global dimension (see Theorem 2.1, 2.4 and 2.10). Also, we extend the well-known Hilbert's syzygy Theorem to the weak Gorenstein global dimension and we study the weak Gorenstein homological dimension of direct product of rings*, which gives examples of non-coherent rings of finite Gorenstein dimensions > 0 and infinite classical weak dimension.
Throughout the rest of this paper, all modules are-if not specified otherwise- left R-modules. The definitions and notations employed in this paper are based on those introduced by Holm in [15].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Our first main result of this paper is the following Theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a ring and n a positive integer. Then, $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) \leq n$ if, and only if, R satisfies the following two conditions:*

- **(C1):** $\mathrm{id}_R(P) \leq n$ *for every projective (left) R-module.*
- **(C2):** $\mathrm{pd}_R(I) \leq n$ *for every injective (left) R-module.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$). Suppose that $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) \leq n$. We claim (**C1**). Let P be a projective R-module. Since $\mathrm{Gpd}_R(M) \leq n$ for every R-module M, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, P) = 0$ for all $i > n$ (by [15, Theorem 2.20]). Hence, $\mathrm{id}_R(P) \leq n$, as desired.
Now, we claim (**C2**). Let I be an injective R-module. Since $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) = \sup\{\mathrm{Gid}_R(M) \mid$ M is a left R-module$\}$, for an arbitrary R-module M we have $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(I, M) = 0$ for all $i > n$ (by [15, Theorem 2.22]). Hence, $\mathrm{pd}_R(I) \leq n$, as desired.

---

*The extension of the Hilbert's syzygy Theorem and the study the weak Gorenstein homological dimensions of direct product of rings to weak Gorenstein dimension was done in [3] over a coherent rings. There we give a generalization to an arbitrary ring.

($\Leftarrow$). Suppose that R satisfies (**C1**) and (**C2**) and we claim that $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) \leq n$. Let M be an arbitrary R module and consider an n-step projective resolution of M as follows:

$$0 \to G \to P_n \to .... \to P_1 \to M \to 0$$

where all P_i are projective. We have to prove that G is Gorenstein projective. First, for every projective R-module P and all $i > 0$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(G, P) = \mathrm{Ext}^{n+i}_R(M, P) = 0$ by condition (**C1**). So, from [15, Proposition 2.3], it suffices to prove that G admits a right co-proper projective resolution (see [15, Definition 1.5]). Pick a short exact sequence $0 \to M \to I \to M' \to 0$ where I is an injective R-module and for M' consider an n-step projective resolution as follows:

$$0 \to G' \to P'_n \to .... \to P'_1 \to M' \to 0$$

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \ldots \arrow[r] & P_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & Q_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_n \oplus P'_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \ldots \arrow[r] & P_1 \oplus P'_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & I \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P'_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \ldots \arrow[r] & P'_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{pd}_R(I) \leq n$ (by (**C2**)), the module Q_1 is clearly projective. On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_R(G', P) = \mathrm{Ext}^{n+1}_R(M', P) = 0$ for every projective module P by (**C1**). Thus, the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, P)$ keeps the exactness of the short exact sequence $0 \to G \to Q_1 \to G' \to 0$. By repeating this procedure we obtain a right projective resolution

$$0 \to G \to Q_1 \to Q_2 \to ...$$

such that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, P)$ leaves this sequence exact whenever P is projective. Hence, G is Gorenstein projective. Consequently, $\mathrm{Gpd}_R(M) \leq n$ and so $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) \leq n$, as desired. □

If we denote $l.\mathcal{P}(R)$ (resp., $r.\mathcal{P}(R)$) and $l.\mathcal{I}(R)$ (resp., $r.\mathcal{I}(R)$) , respectively, the set of all left (resp., right) projective and injective R-modules, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) &= \sup\{\mathrm{pd}_R(I), \mathrm{id}_R(P) \mid I \in l.\mathcal{I}(R), P \in l.\mathcal{P}(R)\} \text{ and} \\ r.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) &= \sup\{\mathrm{pd}_R(I), \mathrm{id}_R(P) \mid I \in r.\mathcal{I}(R), P \in r.\mathcal{P}(R)\}. \end{aligned}$$

There is another way to write the above Theorem:

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be a ring and n be a positive integer. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}(R) \leq n$.
2. *For any R-module M:* $\mathrm{pd}_R(M) \leq n \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{id}_R(M) \leq n$.

Proof. ($1 \Rightarrow 2$). Let M be an R-module such that $\mathrm{pd}_R(M) \leq n$. For such module, consider a projective resolution as follows:

$$0 \to P_n \to ... \to P_1 \to P_0 \to M \to 0$$

From Theorem 2.1, $\mathrm{id}_R(P_i) \leq n$ for each $i = 0, .., n$. Hence, $\mathrm{id}_R(M) \leq n$. Similarly we prove that $\mathrm{pd}_R(M) \leq n$ for every R-module such that $\mathrm{id}_R(M) \leq n$.

(2 $\Rightarrow$ 1). Follows directly from Theorem 2.1 since the conditions **C1** and **C2** are clearly satisfied. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring with finite Gorenstein global dimension. Then,* (**C1**) *and* (**C2**) *of Theorem 2.1 are equivalent and so the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}\,(R) \leq n$.
(2) $\mathrm{id}_R(P) \leq n$ *for every projective R-module.*
(3) $\mathrm{pd}_R(I) \leq n$ *for every injective R-module.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.1, only the equivalence of (**C1**) and (**C2**) need a proof. So, we prove (**C1** $\Rightarrow$ **C2**) and the other implication is analogous. Let M be an arbitrary left R-module. For every projective R-module P and all $i > n$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M, P) = 0$ (by (**C1**)). Then, from [15, Theorem 2.20], $\mathrm{Gpd}_R(M) \leq n$. Hence, we have $l.\mathrm{Ggldim}\,(R) \leq n$. So, by Theorem 2.1, (**C2**) is satisfied, as desired. $\square$

Our second main result of this paper is given by the bellow Theorem. Recall that over a ring R, Ding ([8]) defined and investigated two global dimensions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r.\mathrm{IFD}\,(R) &= \sup\{\mathrm{fd}_R(I) \mid \text{I is a right injective R-module}\} \\ l.\mathrm{IFD}\,(R) &= \sup\{\mathrm{fd}_R(I) \mid \text{I is a left injective R-module}\} \end{aligned}$$

For such dimensions, in [8], Ding gave a several characterizations over an arbitrary ring and also over a coherent ring. Recall also that a right (resp., left) R-module M is called FP-injective (or absolutely pure) if $\mathrm{Ext}_R(N, M) = 0$ (or equivalently $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(N, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$) for every finitely presented right (resp. left) R-module N. The FP-injective dimension of right (resp., left) M, denoted $\mathrm{FP}-\mathrm{id}_R(M)$, is defined to be the lest nonnegative integer n such that $\mathrm{Ext}^{n+1}_R(N, M) = 0$ for every finitely presented right (resp., left) R-module (see [8, 19]).

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a ring and n a positive integer. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\sup\{l.\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R), r.\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R)\} \leq n$.
(2) $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(R/I) \leq n$ *for every left and every right ideal I.*
(3) $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$ *for every left and every right injective R-module E.*

Consequently:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{l.\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R), r.\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R)\} &= \sup\{\mathrm{fd}_R(I) \mid I \in l.\mathcal{I}(R) \cup r.\mathcal{I}(R)\} \\ &= \sup\{l.\mathrm{IFD}\,(R), r.\mathrm{IFD}\,(R)\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1 $\Rightarrow$ 2). Obvious by definition of the left and right weak Gorenstein global dimension.
(2 $\Rightarrow$ 3). Let E be a left injective R-module. Since $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(R/I) \leq n$ for every right ideal I and from Remark 1.2, we get $\mathrm{Tor}^i_R(R/I, E) = 0$ for all $i > n$. Hence, from [18, Lemma 9.18], $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$. Similarly we prove that $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$ for every right injective R-module.
(3 $\Rightarrow$ 1). Let M be an arbitrary left R-module and consider an n-step projective resolution of M as follows:

$$0 \to G \to P_n \to .... \to P_1 \to M \to 0$$

where all P_i are left projective. We have to prove that G is a Gorenstein flat R-module. First, for every right injective R-module E we have $\mathrm{Tor}^i_R(E, G) = \mathrm{Tor}^{n+i}_R(E, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ since $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$ (by hypothesis).

Now, Pick a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to M \to E_1 \to M' \to 0$ where E_1 is an injective R-module, and for M' consider an n-step projective resolution as follows:

$$0 \to G' \to P'_n \to .... \to P'_1 \to M' \to 0$$

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] & P_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P_n \oplus P'_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] & P_1 \oplus P'_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P'_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] & P'_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\mathrm{fd}_R(E_1) \leq n$, the module F_1 is clearly left flat. On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{Tor}_R^1(E, G') = \mathrm{Tor}_R^{n+1}(E, M') = 0$ for every right injective R-module E (since $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$). Thus, the functor $E \otimes_R -$ keeps the exactness of the short exact sequence $0 \to G \to F_1 \to G' \to 0$. By repeating this procedure we obtain a flat resolution of G as follows:

$$0 \to G \to F_1 \to F_2 \to ...$$

such that $E \otimes_R -$ leaves this sequence exact whenever E is right injective. Hence, from Lemma 1.1, G is left Gorenstein flat. Then, $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) \leq n$. Consequently, $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq n$, as desired.
Similarly, we prove that $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq n$. □

It is true that $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq n$ implies that $\mathrm{fd}_R(I) \leq n$ for every right injective R-module (by Remark 1.2). But the inverse implication is not true in the general case as shown in the next Example. Thus explicates the form of Theorem 2.4.

Example 2.5. Let R be a left and right coherent ring R which is right IF but not left IF (see [7, Example 2]). Then, $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = \infty$.

Proof. If $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) < \infty$, then using [15, Theorem 3.14] and since every right injective R-module is flat (since R is right IF ring), we have $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) = 0$ for every left R-module M and so $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = 0$. So from Proposition 1.5 every left injective module is flat. But, this contradicts the fact that R is not left IF. Now, if $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = n < \infty$. Then, $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$ for every left injective R-module E. On the other hand, $\mathrm{fd}_R(E') = 0 \leq n$ for every right injective R-module E' since R is right IF. Then, from Theorem 2.4, $\sup\{l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R), r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)\} \leq n$. Absurd, since $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = \infty$. □

Over a right coherent ring, the characterization of $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)$ is more simple as shown in the next Proposition:

Proposition 2.6. *Let R be a right coherent ring. Then,*

$$l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = \sup\{l.\mathrm{IFD}(R), r.\mathrm{IFD}(R)\}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.4($3 \Rightarrow 1$), only the inequality ($\geq$) need a proof. So, assume that $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq n < \infty$. Clearly $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \geq r.\mathrm{IFD}(R)$ since $\mathrm{fd}_R(I) \leq n$ for every right injective module I (by Remark 1.2). So, we have to prove this fact for $l.\mathrm{IFD}(R)$. Let E be a left injective R-module . Since $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq n$, we have $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(E) \leq n$. Then, from [15, Lemma 3.17], there exists a short exact sequence $0 \to K \to G \to E \to 0$ where

G is left Gorenstein flat and $\mathrm{fd}_R(K) \leq n-1$ (if $n=0$, this should be interpreted as $K=0$). Pick a short exact sequence $0 \to G \to F \to G' \to 0$ where F is left flat and G' is left Gorenstein flat. Hence, consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & K \arrow[d] \arrow[r, equal] & K \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G' \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{fd}_R(D) \leq n$ and E is containing in D. So, it is a direct summand of D since it is injective. Therefore, $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$. Consequently, $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \geq l.\mathrm{IFD}(R)$, as desired. □

Similarly, we have:

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a left coherent ring. Then,*

$$r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = \sup\{l.\mathrm{IFD}(R), r.\mathrm{IFD}(R)\}$$

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be a ring. The following statements hold:*

(1) *If R is right coherent, then $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)$.*
(2) *If R is left coherent, then $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)$.*

Consequently, if R is two-sided coherent, $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)$

Proof. We suggest to prove (1) and the proof of (2) will be similar. If R is right coherent, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) &= \sup\{l.\mathrm{IFD}(R), r.\mathrm{IFD}(R)\} \qquad \text{(from Proposition 2.6).} \\ &= \sup\{r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R), l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)\} \quad \text{(from Theorem 2.4).} \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain the desired result. □

Remark 2.9. Using Theorem 2.4, Propositions 2.7, Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.8, we can find many other characterizations of $l.\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)$ and $r.\mathrm{wGgldim}(r)$ by using the characterizations of the $l.\mathrm{IFD}(R)$ and $r.\mathrm{IFD}(R)$. For example we use, [8, Theorems 3.5 and 3.8, Proposition 3.17, Corollary 3.18].

A commutative version of Theorem 2.4 is as follows.

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be a commutative ring and n be a positive integer. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) \leq n$
(2) $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(R/I) \leq n$ *for every ideal I.*
(3) $\mathrm{fd}_R(E) \leq n$ *for every injective R-module E.*

Consequently, $\mathrm{wGgldim}(R) = \mathrm{IFD}(R)$.

Proposition 2.11 (Theorems 3.5, 3.8 and 3.21, [8])**.** *For any commutative ring the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathrm{wGgldim}(R)(= \mathrm{IFD}(R)) \leq n$.
(2) $\mathrm{fd}_R(M) \leq n$ *for every* FP*-injective module M.*

(3) $\operatorname{fd}_R(M) \leq n$ *for every R-module M with* $\operatorname{FP}\text{-}id_R(M) < \infty$.
(4) $\operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(A,B)) \leq n$ *for every* FP*-injective module A and for every injective module B.*
(5) $\operatorname{fd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(F,B)) \leq n$ *for every flat modules F and all injective module B.*

Moreover, if R is coherent, $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R) = \operatorname{FP} - \operatorname{id}_R(R)$.

In , [4, Theorem 2.11 and 3.5] the authors prove that:

R1: If $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a family of coherent commutative rings then:

$$\operatorname{wGgldim}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i) = sup\{\operatorname{wGgldim}(R_i); 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

R2: If the polynomial ring $R[x]$ in one indeterminate x over a commutative ring R is coherent, then: $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R[x]) = \operatorname{wGgldim}(R) + 1$.

In the next Theorems we see that the coherence condition is not necessary in **R1** and **R2**.

Theorem 2.12. *For every family of commutative rings* $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^n$ *we have:*

$$\operatorname{wGgldim}(\prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i) = \sup\{wGgldim(R_i),\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Proof. By induction on n it suffices to prove this result for $n = 2$.
Assume that $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R_1 \times R_2) \leq k$. Let M_i be an R_i-module for $i = 1, 2$. Since each R_i is projective $R_1 \times R_2$-module, by [15, Proposition 3.10] we have $\operatorname{Gfd}_{R_i}(M_i) \leq \operatorname{Gfd}_{R_i \times R_2}(M_1 \times M_2) \leq k$. This follows that $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R_i) \leq k$ for each $i = 1, 2$.
Conversely, suppose that $\sup\{\operatorname{wGgldim}(R_i),\ 1 = 1, 2\} \leq k$. Let I be an arbitrary injective $R_1 \times R_2$-module. We can see that $I \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_1 \times R_2}(R_1 \times R_2, I) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_1 \times R_2}(R_1, I) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{R_1 \times R_2}(R_2, I)$ and that $I_i = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_1 \times R_2}(R_i, I)$ is an injective R_i-module for each $i = 1, 2$. Since $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R_i) \leq k$ for each i, we get that $\operatorname{fd}_{R_i}(I_i) \leq k$ (by Theorem 2.10). Using [4, Lemma 3.7], we have $\operatorname{fd}_{R_1 \times R_2}(I_1 \times I_2) = \sup\{\operatorname{fd}_{R_i}(I_1), 1 \leq i \leq 2\} \leq k$. Consequently, by Theorem 2.10, $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R_1 \times R_2) \leq k$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.13. *Let* $R[x]$ *be the polynomial ring in one indeterminate x over a commutative ring R. Then:* $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R[x]) = \operatorname{wGgldim}(R) + 1$.

To prove this Theorem we need the following Lemmas. Note that M^+ denote the character $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ of M.

Lemma 2.14. [13, Theorem 2.1] *Let R be any ring and M an R-module. Then,* $\operatorname{fd}_R(M) = \operatorname{id}_R(M^+)$.

Lemma 2.15. [16, Theorem 202] *Let R be any ring (not necessarily commutative). Let x be a central non-zero-divisor in R, and write* $R^* = R/(x)$. *Let A be a non-zero* R^**-module with* $\operatorname{id}_{R^*}(A) = n < \infty$. *Then* $\operatorname{id}_R(A) = n + 1$.

Proof. First, we will prove that $\operatorname{wGgldim}(R) \leq \operatorname{wGgldim}(R[x])$. Let I be an arbitrary injective R-module. Clearly, the $R[X]$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R[x], I)$ is injective. Hence, from Theorem 2.10, $\operatorname{fd}_{R[x]}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R[x], I)) \leq \operatorname{wGgldim}(R[x])$. On the other hand, from [14, Theorem 1.3.12], $\operatorname{fd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R[x], I)) \leq \operatorname{fd}_{R[x]}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R[x], I))$. And it is clear that $I = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R, I)$ is a direct summand of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R[x], I)$. Hence, $\operatorname{fd}_R(I) \leq \operatorname{wGgldim}(R[x])$. Then,

$$\operatorname{wGgldim}(R) = \sup\{\operatorname{fd}(I) \mid \text{I injective R-module}\} \leq \operatorname{wGgldim}(R[x]).$$

Secondly, we will prove that $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R[x]) \leq \mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R) + 1$. We may assume that $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R) = n < \infty$. Otherwise, the result is obvious. Let I be an arbitrary injective $R[x]$-module. From [14, Theorem 1.3.16], $\mathrm{fd}_{R[x]}(I) \leq \mathrm{fd}_R(I) + 1$. But I is also an injective R-module since $R[x]$ is a free (then flat) R-module. Then, $\mathrm{fd}_{R[x]}(I) \leq \mathrm{fd}_R(I) + 1 \leq \mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R) + 1$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R[x]) = \sup\{\mathrm{fd}\,(I) \mid \text{I injective R[x]-module}\} \leq \mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R) + 1.$$

Finally, we have to prove that $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R[x]) \geq \mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R) + 1$. From the first part of this proof, we may assume that $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R) = n < \infty$. Otherwise, the result is obvious. Let I be an injective R-module such that $\mathrm{fd}_R(I) = n$ (there exists since Theorem 2.10). Then, from Lemma 2.14, $\mathrm{id}_R(I^+) = n < \infty$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.15, $\mathrm{id}_{R[x]}(I^+) = n+1$. Again by Lemma 2.14, $\mathrm{fd}_{R[x]}(I) = n+1$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.15, $\mathrm{id}_{R[x]}(I) = 1$. Pick an injective resolution of I over $R[x]$ as follows: $0 \to I \to I_0 \to I_1 \to 0$ where I_0 and I_1 are injective $R[x]$-modules. Then, $n + 1 = \mathrm{fd}_{R[x]}(I) \leq \sup\{\mathrm{fd}_{R[x]}(I_0), \mathrm{fd}_{R[x]}(I_1) - 1\} \leq \mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R[x])$. Therefore, $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R) + 1 \leq \mathrm{wGgldim}\,(R[x])$, as desired. This finish our proof. ☐

Remark 2.16. Let M be an R-module. Using the definition of the character $M^+ = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, we see that the modulation of M^+ over $R[x]$ is the same:

1. When we consider M as an R-module and then we consider M^+ as an $R[x]$-module.
2. And when we consider M as an $R[x]$-module (by set $xM = 0$) from the beginning.

Now we are able to give a class of non-coherent rings R_n with infinite weak global dimensions such that $wGldim(R_n) = n$.

Example 2.17. Consider $R := K[X]/(X^2)$ the local Noetherian non semi-simple quasi-Frobenius ring and let S be a non-coherent commutative ring with $\mathrm{wdim}\,(R) = 1$. Set, $T_0 = R$ and $T_n = R[X_1, X_2, ..., X_n]$ the polynomial ring over R. Then,

1. $\mathrm{wdim}\,(T_n \times S) = \infty$,
2. $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(T_n \times S) = n$, and
3. $T_n \times S$ is not coherent.

Proof. (1) Follows from the fact that $\mathrm{wdim}\,(R) = \infty$.
(2) Clearly, since R is Noetherian and from [4, theorem 3.5], [3, Corollary 1.2 and Proposition 2.6] and [11, Theorem 12.3.1] we have $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(T_n) = \mathrm{Ggldim}\,(T_n) = n$ and $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(S) = \mathrm{wdim}\,(R) = 1$. Hence, by Theorem 2.12, $\mathrm{wGgldim}\,(T_n \times S) = n$, as desired.
(3) Clear since S is non-coherent and this completes the proof. ☐

References

1. M. Auslander, *On the dimension of modules and algebras (III)*, global dimension, Nagoya Math. J., 9 (1955), 67-77.
2. M. Auslander and M. Bridger; *Stable module theory*, Memoirs. Amer. Math. Soc., 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.
3. D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Global Gorenstein Dimensions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. S 0002-9939(09)10099-0 (pp. 1-5). Available from math.AC/0611358v4 30 Jun 2009.
4. D. Bennis and N. Mahdou; *Global Gorenstein dimensions of polynomial rings and of direct products of rings*, Houston Journal of Mathematics Volume 35, No. 4 (2009), 1019-1028.
5. L. W. Christensen; *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1747, Springer, Berlin, (2000).
6. L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild, and H. Holm; *On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions - a functorial description with applications*, J. Algebra 302 (2006), 231-279.
7. R. R. Colby; *On Rings wich have flat injective modules*, J. Algebra 35 (1975), 239252.

8. N. Q. Ding and J. L. Chen; *The flat dimensions of injective modules*, Manuscripta Math. 78 (1993), 165-177.
9. E. Enochs and O. Jenda; *On Gorenstein injective modules*, Comm. Algebra 21 (1993), no. 10, 3489-3501.
10. E. Enochs and O. Jenda; *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. 220 (1995), no. 4, 611-633.
11. E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 2000.
12. E. Enochs, O. Jenda and B. Torrecillas; *Gorenstein flat modules*, Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan 10 (1) (1993) 1-9.
13. D. J. Fieldhouse; *Character modules. dimension and purilY*. GlasgowMath. J. 13. 144 146 (1972)
14. S. Glaz; *Commutative Coherent Rings*, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1371 (1989).
15. H. Holm; *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189 (2004), 167-193.
16. I. Kaplansky; *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1970).
17. W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Youssif; *Quasi-Frobenius Rings*, Cambridge University Press, vol. 158, 2003.
18. J. Rotman; *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic press, Pure and Appl. Math, A Series of Monographs and Textbooks, 25 (1979).
19. B. Stenström; *Coherent rings and FP-injective module*, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 323- 329.

Najib Mahdou, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez, Box 2202, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco., mahdou@hotmail.com

Mohammed Tamekkante, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez, Box 2202, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco., tamekkante@yahoo.fr